



KITTTAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926

CDS@CO.KITTTAS.WA.US

Office (509) 962-7506

Fax (509) 962-7682

"Building Partnerships – Building Communities"

January 4, 2016

Western Pacific Engineering and Surveying
1328 E. Hunter Place
Moses Lake, WA 98837

RE: Water System Plan for Palomino Fields

To Whom It May Concern,

This letter is to inform you that Kittitas County Community Development Services did receive a copy of the Draft Water System Plan for Palomino Fields on December 8, 2015. We have reviewed the submitted water system plan and have determined that it is consistent with the County's Comprehensive Plan and Development Regulations. Please find attached to this letter the signed Local Government Consistency Review Checklist.

If you have any questions regarding our determination, please feel free to contact our office at (509) 962-7506.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Ozbolt
Planner II

Enc: DOH Local Government Consistency Review Checklist

Cc: Mark Nelson, Evergreen Valley Utilities *via email*



Local Government Consistency Review Checklist

Water System Name: Palomino Fields PWS ID: AD3692

Planning/Engineering Document Title: Water System Plan Plan Date: November 2015

Local Government with Jurisdiction: Kittitas County

WAC 246-290-108 Consistency with local plans and regulations:

Consistency with local plans and regulations applies to planning and engineering documents under WAC 246-290-106, 246-290-107, and 246-290-110(4)(b) (ii).

1) Municipal water suppliers must include a consistency review and supporting documentation in its planning or engineering document describing how it has addressed consistency with **local plans and regulations**. This review must include specific elements of local plans and regulations, as they reasonably relate to water service as determined by Department of Health (DOH). Complete the table below and see instructions on back.

Local Government Consistency Statement	Page(s) in Planning Document	Yes – No – Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the applicable service area.	Ch.1 pgs. 1-3 & maps	Yes
b) The <u>six-year growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city/county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Ch. 2 pgs. 1-2	Yes
c) Applies to <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town are consistent with the <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> of the city or town.	N/A	N/A
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections are consistent with the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all jurisdictions with authority over the service area [City(ies), County(ies)].	Ch. 1 pgs. 2-4	Yes, covers area with vested project.
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable; Coordinated Water System plans, Regional Wastewater plans, Reclaimed Water plans, Groundwater Area Management plans, and Capital Facilities Element of Comprehensive plans.	Ch. 8 pgs. 1-2	N/A

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Lindsey M. Stolt
Signature

1/4/16
Date

Lindsey Ozbolt, Planner II, Kittitas County Community Development Services
Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

Consistency Review Guidance

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For **water system plans (WSP)**, a consistency review is required for the retail service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use. If no water right place of use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use (water system plan amendment is required). For non-community water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place of use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map per WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii).

A) Documenting Consistency: Municipal water suppliers must document all of the elements in a consistency review per WAC 246-290-108.

- 1 a) Provide a copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that are related to water supply planning.
- 1 b) Include a copy of the **six-year growth projections** that corresponds to the service area. If the local population growth rate projections are not used, provide a detailed explanation on why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
- 1 c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. This applies to cities and towns only.
- 1 d) Include all **service area policies** for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
- 1 e) **Other relevant elements** related to water supply planning as determined by the department (DOH). See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.

B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and provide direction on how this inconsistency can be resolved.

C) Documenting Lack of Consistency Review by Local Government: Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for their review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and e-mails). In order to self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).